advisme
LEGAL SERVICES MADE EASY

OPTIONS TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN KEY STAFF

NO	OPTION	PROS	CONS	COMPLEXITY	SUITABLE FOR	TAX	ADVISORY COSTS
1.	Increase salary/ benefits	• Traditional approach. • Simple. • No compliance/ legal costs. • No FMCA (Financial Markets Conduct Act) requirements.	• Competitor can always offer more. • Impact on working capital. • No "buy in" by the employee. • No incentive to meet targets. • Typically, a re-negotiation each year. • Often ad-hoc (and favours the squeaky wheel).	Low	All businesses	• Nil tax for the company, but PAYE deductions required. • Salaries are a deductible expense. • FBT may apply to certain benefits (e.g. motor vehicles). • PAYE for the employee	None.
2.	Formal, documented employee bonus or commission scheme	• As above. • Minor legal costs. • Bonus scheme can be discretionary or based on performance targets. • May be varied each year if properly documented. • No FMCA requirements.	• As above. • Requires administration, tracking and calculation of target metrics. • Focus on short term objectives (making the sale). • May create the wrong behaviours. • May not always be appropriate for "relationship" businesses (on-going, support and service).	Low/Medium	Most businesses, but particularly businesses driven by new sales.	• Nil tax for the company, but PAYE deductions required. • Bonuses are taxed depending on their type and frequency. • Frequent and regular bonuses treated as part of earnings and have PAYE deducted. • Annual bonus treated as a lump sum payment with specific PAYE calculation.	$ Small cost may be involved in drafting bonus scheme and variations to employment agreement and policies.
3.	Direct shareholding	• Sale price may provide cash to shareholders or company (depending on structure). • Provides "buy in" by the employee/ focus on longer term objectives. • No negative impact on working capital (and may improve if share price paid to the company). • Provides options for exit, succession and transition to increased ownership. • Control issues may be mitigated by structure – e.g. shares may be issued as "B" shares or limited veto rights.	• Increased cost and complexity. • Reduces founder's control and equity. • Gives minorities influence. • Price often loan funded (with security risk) or paid off by dividends. • May require a valuation of the company to establish entry price. • Requires more complex legal documentation (sale or subscription agreement and shareholders agreement). • Need to consider:	High	Businesses with key management team, who want direct ownership (and some control), rather than passive investment. Typically, participants part of the succession plan.	• Low tax impact for the company if properly structured. • No capital gains tax for the employee.	$$$ Needs share sale or subscription agreement and well thought out shareholders agreement. May require entry valuation.

NO	OPTION	PROS	CONS	COMPLEXITY	SUITABLE FOR	TAX	ADVISORY COSTS
			○ tags and drags. ○ good leaver/ bad leaver events. ○ negative control. ○ Minority buy-out rights. • Permanent. Not easy to remove employee shareholder unless good leaver/ bad leaver provisions included. • FMCA requirements must be considered.				
4.	Employee share scheme – loan scheme	• Employee funded into equity ownership with loan. • Provides "buy in" by the employee/ focus on longer term objectives. • Loan often low/no interest. • Repayment normally funded by: ○ cash contributions. ○ dividends and bonuses ○ exit or liquidity event • No negative impact on working capital. • Payments may provide working capital to company. • Control issues may be managed by use of custodian structure. • "Overstayer" issues may be managed by good leaver/ bad leaver provisions. • Can use trust structures to mitigate the control and exit issues.	• As above. • Requires a valuation of the company to establish entry price. • Loan must be full recourse in order for it to not be taxable. • Employee bears risk that share value less on exit than entry (with outstanding loan liability). • Shares often vest day 1 (before loan repaid). May be managed by good leaver/ bad leaver provisions (failure to stay [x] years deems the employee a bad leaver). • Need to consider: ○ tags and drags. ○ good leaver/ bad leaver events. ○ negative control. • Minority buy-out rights • Permanent, and not easy to remove employee shareholder unless good leaver/ bad leaver provisions included. • FMCA requirements must be considered. • If trusts are used, this adds to complexity.	High, especially if good leaver bad/ leaver provisions included and trusts are used	Often more of a passive investment. Suit businesses with multiple key employees who: • the business wishes to retain; • do not want "control"; but • are not seen as "successors".	• Low tax impact for the company if properly structured (but need to be mindful of FBT on the loan). • Employees may pay tax on value uplift on exit depending on how structured.	$$$ Needs subscription and loan agreement, custodian deed, shareholders agreement. Entry valuation required.

NO	OPTION	PROS	CONS	COMPLEXITY	SUITABLE FOR	TAX	ADVISORY COSTS

5.	Employee share scheme – option scheme	• Provides option for employees to buy shares at a specified strike price.
		• Provides “buy in” by the employee/ focus on longer term objectives.
		• Strike price should be the market price at the date of grant of the option.
		• Entry valuation often needed, but no exit valuation needed.
		• No negative impact on working capital.
		• Options usually vest:
		  ○ Annually (incentivising employees to stay); and/or
		  ○ on KPIs achievement.
		• Options lapse if not achieved or exercised.
		• Options often automatically vest on liquidity event (and often can be cash settled on liquidity event).
		• Typically, options not transferrable with no right to dividends and no pre-emptive rights.
		• Fewer control issues, as most options remain outstanding until liquidity event.
		• No tax unless exercised.
		• Options can lapse in bad leaver situations
		• Employee can never lose money if the options remain unexercised.
			• Often requires valuation to establish strike price.
			• Requires more complex legal documentation (option deed and shareholders agreement).
			• FMCA requirements must be considered.
			• Full marginal tax applies for the employee on gain.
				Medium	Often used in start-ups/ high growth businesses focussed on reducing working capital and on ultimate exit event.	• Low tax impact for the company if properly structured.
						• The employee will be taxed on the difference between the strike price and the market value of the share on the date the option is exercised.
							$$
							Needs option deed and shareholders agreement. Requires entry valuation.

Disclaimer: This guide is of a general nature only and does not claim to be comprehensive or provide specific legal advice or other advice (including tax and accounting advice). It is not possible to provide comprehensive advice, whether legal or otherwise, on the matters that may apply in your particular circumstances without knowing those circumstances. Accordingly, matters that you consider to be important, or that may otherwise be considered important, to your particular circumstances may not have been addressed in this guide, or may not have been addressed in sufficient detail for your purposes. Consequently, this guide cannot act in any way as a substitute for obtaining your own legal advice and other advice. We have not updated this guide since 1 November 2019 to take account of any subsequent events or changes in law, and we have no duty or responsibility to do so. While every endeavour has been made to supply accurate information, errors and omissions may occur. Accordingly, we do not accept any liability for any loss or damage which may directly or indirectly result from any advice, opinion, information, representation or omission, whether negligent or otherwise, contained in this guide. This guide is subject to our terms and conditions available at www.advisme.co.nz.